PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or ag	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416		See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International app	lication No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP2	004/001498	12.02.2004		12.02.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC								
A61K 31/195, 31/216, 31/405, A61P 31/12, 1/16, C07C 235/28, 235/76, 237/22, 251/38, C07D 209/20								
Applicant CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI KAISHA								
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This R	EPORT consists of a total of	6	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.				
3. This re	eport is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:						
а. 🗀	(sent to the applicant and	to the International Bure	cau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
ъ. [(sent to the International	Bureau only) a total of (i	ndicate type and numbe	r of electronic carrier(s))				
				_ , containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
	related thereto, in compute Section 802 of the Adminis		indicated in the Supple	mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see				
4. This re	eport contains indications relat	ing to the following items	:					
	Box No. I Basis of the	e report						
	Box No. II Priority							
	Box No. III Non-establ	ishment of opinion with r	egard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention						
\boxtimes	BOATIO. V	tatement under Article 35 d explanations supporting	` '	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
	Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited						
	Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international a	pplication					
	Box No. VIII Certain obs	servations on the internati	onal application					
Date of submission of the demand Date of co				is report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/			Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.			Celenhone No.					

Translation

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/001498

Box I	No. I	Basis of the report						
1.	With indic	regard to the language, this report is based on the internation attended under this item.	al application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise					
[This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpo	is report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, ich is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)						
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	•					
2.	recei	regard to the elements of the international application, this r iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are report):	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the ring Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to export):					
	\bowtie	the international application as originally filed/furnished						
l		the description:						
		pages	as originally filed/furnished					
		pages*	received by this Authority on					
_		pages*	received by this Authority on					
		the claims:						
		nos.	as originally filed/furnished					
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
[the drawings:						
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
[a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages	the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendr they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	ments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since ed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	rseded."					

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/001498

Box	No. IV	V Lack of unity of invention
1.		In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		restricted the claims.
		paid additional fees.
		paid additional fees under protest.
		neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2.	\boxtimes	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		complied with.
	\boxtimes	not complied with for the following reasons:
		The compounds that are set forth in Markush form
		in claim 15 can be considered to have a common
		chemical structure wherein prescribed substituent
		groups have been bonded to a citric acid amide, as is
		set forth in general formula (I). However, compounds
		which have the structure in question are well known,
		as disclosed in the document EP 1002793 and the like;
		therefore, this chemical structure cannot constitute a
		distinguishing element of the overall chemical
		structure. In addition, there is no other common
		feature that can be considered to be a special
		technical feature in the meaning of the second
		sentence of PCT Rule 13.2 among these groups of
		inventions. As a result, the groups of inventions in
		question cannot be considered to be so linked as to
		form a single general inventive concept.
4.	Cons	sequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	\boxtimes	all parts.
		the parts relating to claims Nos.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/001498

Box		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-14, 19, 20, 22-24	YES
		Claims	15-18, 21	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-24	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-24	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - Document 1: Tetrahedron Letters, 1998, Vol. 39, No. 8, pages 877 to 880
 - Document 2: EP 1002793 A1 (Takara Shuzo Co., Ltd.), 24
 May 2000
 - Document 3: EP 526936 A2 (Merck and Co., Inc.), 10 February 1993
 - Document 4: WO 94/18157 A1 (Merck and Co., Inc.), 18

 August 1994
 - Document 5: Journal of Virology, 2002, Vol. 76, No. 20, pages 10465 to 10472
 - Document 6: WO 93/24660 Al (The Regents of the University of California), 09 December 1993

[1]

The inventions that are set forth in claims 15 to 18 and 21 lack novelty and do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 4 cited in the international search report.

Document 1 indicates that the compounds which are included within the scope of the formula that represents Viridiofungin A(2) exhibit an activity whereby they inhibit squalene synthase.

Therefore, the inventions that are set forth in

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

claims 15 and 21 of the present application lack novelty in the light of document 1.

Document 2 (claim 1) discloses compounds that are represented by general formula (A), and indicates that said compounds are useful for the treatment of mycotic infections and immunological diseases.

Therefore, the inventions that are set forth in claims 15 to 18 and 21 of the present application lack novelty in the light of document 2.

Document 3 (claim 1) discloses compounds that are represented by general formula (I), and indicates that said compounds are useful for the treatment of mycotic infections and immunological diseases.

Therefore, the inventions that are set forth in claims 15 and 21 of the present application lack novelty in the light of document 3.

Document 4 (claim 1) discloses compounds that are represented by general formula (I), and indicates that said compounds are useful for the treatment of mycotic infections and immunological diseases.

Therefore, the inventions that are set forth in claims 15 and 21 of the present application lack novelty in the light of document 4.

[2]

The inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 14, 16 to 20 and 22 to 24 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 6 cited in the international search report.

Claims 1 to 14

Documents 3 and 4 disclose compounds that are

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

represented by general formula (I), and makes disclosures in relation to the farnesyl transferase-inhibiting action of said compounds; however, documents 3 and 4 do not make any disclosures pertaining to the application of the compounds in question in relation to viral infections such as HCV. On the other hand, document 5 indicates that farnesyl transferase inhibitors are useful for the treatment of viral infections, and document 6 further indicates that medicinal agents which exhibit a prenylation reaction-inhibiting action are useful in the treatment of viral infections such as HCV. Conventionally, if the activity of farnesyl transferases is inhibited, then prenylation reactions will also be inhibited; therefore, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of attempting to employ the farnesyl transferase inhibitors that are disclosed in documents 3 and 4 for the treatment of viral infections such as HCV.

Claims 16 to 20 and 22 to 24

It would be easy for a person skilled in the art to attempt to create specific citric acid amide compounds by selecting the substituent groups from various citric acid amides that are useful as medicinal agents, as appropriate. In addition, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of attempting to employ the resulting compounds for the treatment of viral infections such as HCV in the light of the disclosures of documents 5 to 6.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/001498

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Scope of the Search

Claims 1 to 24 include a very wide range of compounds, which are indicated by the term "prodrugs," as the active ingredient. However, only an extremely small portion of the claimed compounds is disclosed in the meaning of PCT Article 5; therefore, the claims in question are not fully supported in the meaning of PCT Article 6.

Furthermore, the preceding international search report was drafted on the basis of a search of prior art documents in relation to the compounds that are specifically set forth in the description; therefore, the present international preliminary report on patentability has been drafted in relation to the subject matter that was included within the scope of the search in question.